#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY PCT WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below see form PCT/ISA/220 Priority date (day/month/year) International filing date (day/month/year) International application No. 25.08.2003 18.08.2004 PCT/B2004/002683 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC B60L11/18 Applicant TOYOTA JIDOSHA KABUSHIKI KAISHA This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. 1 Basis of the opinion Box No. II. Priority Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. III Lack of unity of invention ☐ Box No. IV Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Certain documents cited ☐ Box No. VI Certain defects in the international application ☐ Box No. VII □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. 3. Authorized Officer Name and mailing address of the ISA:

Bronold, H

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-2948

**European Patent Office** 

Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d

D-80298 Munich

### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/B2004/002683

	Box N	lo. I	Basis of the opinion
1.	With re	egaro ngua(	d to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in ge in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	la	เทตบล	pinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following age , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With r	egar sary	d to any <b>nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence</b> disclosed in the international application and to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. typ	e of r	material:
		a s	sequence listing
		tat	ple(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. for	mat o	of material:
		in	written format
		in	computer readable form
	c. tim	ne of	filing/furnishing:
		l co	ontained in the international application as filed.
		) file	ed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		] fu	rnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3		has t	ldition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional es is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as opriate, were furnished.
4	. Addi	itiona	d comments:

#### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IB2004/002683

	Вох	No. II	Priority								
1.	☑ The following document has not been furnished:										
	□ copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).										
	☐ translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)										
		Conse	quently it has not be heless been establis	en possibl hed on the	e to conside assumpti	der the valid	dity of the priorit relevant date is	ty claim. This opinion has s the claimed priority date.			
2.		This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.									
3.	Add	ditional	observations, if nece	essary:	•						
	•										
							····				
	Bo	x No. V Iustrial	Reasoned state applicability; citati	ment unde	er Rule 43 explanation	<i>bis</i> .1(a)(i) ns suppor	with regard to ling such state	novelty, inventive step or ement			
<u>.</u>		tement									
	No	velty (N	· ·	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-9	•				
٠	Inv	entive s	step (IS)	Yes:	Claims	1-9					
				No:	Claims						
	Inc	dustrial :	applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-9					
					J.2		. ,	-			
2	Cif	tations a	and explanations								

see separate sheet

## IAP20 Rec'd FCT/FTO 19 JAN 2006 International application No.

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/IB2004/002683

#### Re Item V.

1 The following documents are referred to in this communication:

D1: US 2001/053950 A1 (AOYAGI SATOSHI ET AL) 20 December 2001 (2001-12-20)

D2: DE 101 61 965 A (PLUG POWER INC) 11 July 2002 (2002-07-11)

D3: EP 1 286 405 A (TOYOTA MOTOR CO LTD) 26 February 2003 (2003-02-26)

D4: PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 2002, no. 03, 3 April 2002 (2002-04-03) &; JP 2001 307758 A (TOYOTA MOTOR CORP), 2 November 2001 (2001-11-02)

2 Document D1, which is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art, discloses (the references in parenthesis applying to this document):

A fuel cell system including a fuel cell (figure 1, ref. 11), electric power storing means (figure 1, ref. 12), and electric power supplying means (figure 1, wiring) for supplying electric power to a load from the fuel cell and the electric power storing means, wherein the electric power supplying means includes intermittent operation means (page 4, paragraph 65) for stopping and starting the fuel cell according to a reference value.

From this, the subject-matter of independent claim 1 differs in that:

The fuel cell is stopped when an amount of power required by the load is smaller than a reference value, and the fuel cell is started when the amount of electric power required by the load is equal to or larger than the reference value, wherein threshold value adjusting means are provided for adjusting the reference value according to the internal electromotive force in the fuel cell whose operation has been stopped.

2.1 The subject-matter of claim 1 is therefore novel (Article 33(2) PCT)

The problem to be solved by the present invention may be regarded as:

Improving the response of the fuel cell system.

9

2.2 The solution to this problem proposed in claim 1 of the present application is considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) for the following reasons:

> None of the cited documents D1 to D4 discloses to vary the reference value according to the electromotive force of the fuel cell.

Document D1 deals with maintaining the supply voltage of an electrical vehicle within an upper and a lower limit of a hysteresis.

Document D2 discloses not to modify the controlling of the fuel cell as long as the power requirement is kept within a certain hysteresis.

Document D3 is directed to efficiently using a fuel cell by storing outputted power during low power demand zones into a capacitor.

Document D4 teaches to start and stop a fuel cell system depending on the power demand of a vehicle.

Thus, there is no indication given in the cited documents D1 to D4 which would lead the skilled person towards varying the reference value according to the electromotive force of the fuel cell.

- 2.3 Claims 2-7 are dependent on claim 1 and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.
- 2.4 Claim 8 is directed to a method of using the apparatus according to claim 1. The method steps according to the subject matter of claim 8 represent steps of purposive use of the apparatus features according to claim 1. Therefore, the above reasoning with respect to the subject matter of claim 1 applies mutatis mutandis to the subject matter of claim 8.
  - Consequently, the subject matter of claim 8 is considered as new (Article 33(2)PCT) and involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT).
- 2.5 Claim 9 is dependent on claim 8 and as such also meets the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.